

# NK I row plan

d at American Express,  
ices giant best known  
departure of Edmond  
Banking Corporation.

as had an excellent fortnight  
the £166 million purchase of  
rooke Bond shares for Uni-  
ver, the £15 million dawn raid  
Premier for Carless, fund-  
isings for Sweden, Slough  
tates, Attwoods, and Sove-  
gn Oil and some good turn-  
er have pushed broking  
venues up by some £2½ mil-  
ion in this period. By compar-  
on, its total broking revenue  
1983/4 was around £25  
million.

If present trends continue,  
he pre-tax profits of R & P  
ould well be above the £8.9  
million of 1983/4, showing that  
his broker at least has not  
een sold at the peak of its  
earnings.

In all, combined attributable  
profits of the four in the cur-  
rent 12 months to end-March  
could be well up on the theo-  
retical £37.7 million for 1983/4.  
There will be blood on the  
City's trading floors in the  
next few years, but I expect  
Pegasus to do better than  
most. It certainly has the  
potential to fly high.

## Berens joins Boesky's board

**HENRY BERENS**, manag-  
ing director of London  
Trust, is joining the board of  
Cambrian & General Securi-  
ties, the British investment  
trust run by Wall Street arbi-  
rageur Ivan Boesky.

Berens' colleague, Edward  
Davies, is already a Cambrian  
director and London Trust has  
ad close links with Boesky's  
master company, Ivan F. Boe-  
sky Corporation.

They have a joint venture  
company that participates in  
ome of Boesky's arbitrage  
perations and London Trust  
also holds \$10 million of profit-  
articipating Boesky Corpora-  
on loan stock.

Berens' appointment plus  
he recent announcement that  
ambrian is raising £20  
million through a rights issue  
nd another \$110 million in  
urodollar loans suggest that  
oesky plans to become in-



Marks

## French gets top Marks

**STEPHEN MARKS'S** fash-  
ion design business,  
French Connection Group,  
has been a remarkable  
stock market success since  
it made its debut on the  
unlisted securities market  
just under a year ago.

Its initial offer for sale at  
123p a share was over-  
subscribed 11 times and to-  
day the shares stand at 370p  
on a historic p/e of 28,  
double the average for the  
stores sector.

But this week I expect  
Marks to show just how  
much French Connection  
deserves that premium  
rating when he unveils  
figures for the six months to  
end July.

These should show pre-tax  
profits soaring from £899,000  
to comfortably over £3.75 mil-  
lion after the purchase in the  
spring of a 50 per cent inter-  
est in Best of All Clothing,  
Marks's exclusive United  
States distributor.

The Best of All Clothing ac-  
quisition will produce a sharp  
rise in minorities but earnings  
per share should still be mov-  
ing smartly ahead.

At home expansion con-  
tinues and French Connection  
has opened four new shops in  
the last few months as well  
as buying a factory in South  
Shields from the receiver to  
supplement its production  
facilities in the Far East.

Meanwhile the United  
States fashion world is warm-  
ing to Marks's design flair  
and this week he will open a  
second shop in New York.

Brokers reckon profits in  
the full year will climb as  
high as £10 million against  
just £3.27 million in 1983-84  
and Marks must now be  
thinking about moving the  
company onto the "Big

## A BIG BINGO BUNGLE AT THE MIRROR

**THE SIEGE** of Mirror  
Group newspaper offices  
in London last week by  
more than a thousand  
readers, all under the delu-  
sion that they had won  
£5,000 on bingo in the  
*Sunday Mirror*, was not, I  
understand, the first brush  
Robert Maxwell's new com-  
pany has had with the laws  
of probability.

The contract to devise the  
Mirror "Millionaire" game  
was given out to Huddersfield  
based **Knightway Promotions**  
jointly run by Yorkshireman  
Peter Bulless and Roger Field-  
ing a former senior sales execu-  
tive with Europrint Promotions  
of Blackburn—which incident-  
ally devised both the *Times*  
portfolio game and the *Sun's*  
bingo stunt.

In the course of negotiations  
with the newspaper proprietors,  
who have a budget in mind for  
the total outlay on prizes, the  
promotion company indicated  
the odds on the timing and  
extent of likely winners.

The odds against any one  
person landing a prize are suit-  
ably enormous but the chances  
that the newspaper itself will  
have to pay a prize depend on  
whether an outright winner,

for example, has actually seen  
his lucky copy of the news-  
paper and claimed. Many go  
astray.

In late September, before the  
Mirror announced its first mil-  
lionaire, two ladies (very much  
against the anticipated odds)  
came down from Scotland in  
the running for £1 million from  
Maxwell's *Daily Mirror*. Neither  
succeeded in the draw for the  
prize but both went home with  
tax-free prizes of £50,000  
each. Maxwell, one can imagine,  
was not amused.

The appearance of these  
potential Scottish million-  
aires was apparently pre-  
mature. I understand that  
solicitors representing the  
*Daily Mirror* Group, which was  
concerned that its prize money  
budget might overrun, sent a  
letter on September 12 to  
Knightway Promotions seeking  
to recover sums of £100,000  
and up to £1 million or £2  
million should the two Scots  
ladies come up trumps.

Fortunately for Mirror, and  
perhaps Knightway, the ladies  
won the smaller prizes only.  
It is quite likely that Knight-  
way has taken out professional  
indemnity insurance. But is it  
valid against this kind of thing,  
I wonder?

## Why the secrecy?

**BENNY LEE** had a tough  
start in business. His  
early experiences included a  
conviction and fine for re-  
ceiving stolen goods.

But that was back in the  
fifties and he has turned over a  
new leaf. This week film  
equipment hire specialist **Media  
Technology International**, a  
company where Benny and his  
brother, John, are non-executive  
directors, makes its debut on  
the unlisted securities market  
via a placing.

The figures in the prospectus  
should make good reading. Pro-  
fits last year soared from  
£865,000 to £1.54 million and  
the company is likely to have a  
value of around £11.5 million at  
the expected placing price. At  
this level the Lee brothers will  
own shares worth over £6  
million.

Although I don't like raking  
over the past, I must point out  
that what will not appear in  
the prospectus is Lee's convic-  
tion. Broker Russell Wood and  
its legal advisers take the view  
that a fine for receiving stolen  
goods all of 30 years ago is not

to give its blessing to the issue.

But in leaving out a refer-  
ence I believe both Russell  
Wood and the Stock Exchange  
are making a mistake.

## Thornton on his way back

**CLIVE THORNTON**, who  
tells me he planned to  
offer sacked *Times* editor  
Harry Evans the editorship  
of one of the *Mirror* news-  
papers, may yet be on his  
way back to newspaper  
management.

Thornton, celebrating a pay-  
off from Reed International of  
about £300,000 for losing the  
*Mirror* Group to Robert Max-  
well, has been approached by  
three separate groups of jour-  
nalists who all think they have  
identified a gap in the Fleet  
Street market.

One would involve a man-  
agement buy-out and the other  
two the launch of a modern  
tabloid version of the *News*

# A BIG BINGO

## BUNGLE AT

### THE MIRROR

THE SIEGE of Mirror Group newspaper offices in London last week by more than a thousand readers, all under the delusion that they had won £5,000 on bingo in the *Sunday Mirror*, was not, I understand, the first brush Robert Maxwell's new company has had with the laws of probability.

The contract to devise the Mirror "Millionaire" game was given out to Huddersfield based **Knightway Promotions** jointly run by Yorkshireman Peter Bulless and Roger Fielding a former senior sales executive with Euoprent Promotions of Blackburn—which incidentally devised both the *Times* portfolio game and the *Sun's* bingo stunt.

In the course of negotiations with the newspaper proprietors, who have a budget in mind for the total outlay on prizes, the promotion company indicated the odds on the timing and extent of likely winners.

The odds against any one person landing a prize are suitably enormous but the chances that the newspaper itself will have to pay a prize depend on whether an outright winner,

for example, has actually seen his lucky copy of the newspaper and claimed. Many go astray.

In late September, before the Mirror announced its first millionaire, two ladies (very much against the anticipated odds) came down from Scotland in the running for £1 million from Maxwell's *Daily Mirror*. Neither succeeded in the draw for the prize but both went home with tax-free prizes of £50,000 each. Maxwell, one can imagine, was not amused.

The appearance of these potential Scottish millionairesses was apparently premature. I understand that solicitors representing the *Daily Mirror* Group, which was concerned that its prize money budget might overrun, sent a letter on September 12 to Knightway Promotions seeking to recover sums of £100,000 and up to £1 million or £2 million should the two Scots ladies come up trumps.

Fortunately for Mirror, and perhaps Knightway, the ladies won the smaller prizes only. It is quite likely that Knightway has taken out professional indemnity insurance. But is it valid against this kind of thing, I wonder?